

**SCIENCE NARRATIVES:
THE CONSTRUCTION, MOBILISATION AND VALIDATION OF
HYDRO TASMANIA'S CASE FOR BASSLINK**

By

**RONLYN DUNCAN
BSc., BA (Hons) (UNSW)**

Submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy,
Centre for Environmental Studies, Department of Geography and Environmental
Studies, University of Tasmania
(April 2004)

This thesis contains no material that has been accepted for the award of any other higher degree or graduate diploma in any tertiary institution. To the best of my knowledge and belief, the thesis contains no material previously published or written by another person, except when due reference is made in the text of the thesis.

.....
Ronlyn Duncan

This thesis may be made available for loan and limited copying in accordance with the *Copyright Act 1968*.

.....
Ronlyn Duncan

ABSTRACT

The central focus of this thesis is the role of narratives in the construction, mobilisation and validation of scientific knowledge claims. With an epistemological commitment to constructivism, which conceptualises scientific knowledge as the product of a process (and not something revealed), the regulatory domain of impact assessment in respect of Basslink, a 350 kilometre power cable that will link Tasmania to the Australian mainland across Bass Strait, has been used as a case study to undertake the task of tracing the translations that intervened between assessment process inputs and outputs – contributions deemed ‘scientific’ and ‘independent’ by the project’s proponents and supporters. Specifically, the knowledge claims tendered by Hydro Tasmania, Tasmania’s hydro-electricity generator, in respect of predicted environmental impacts on the Gordon River arising from changes to river flows required to generate and export hydro-electricity across Basslink, have been examined. The central epistemological question has been how, given the extent of the contingencies and indeterminacies in predictive economic and environmental modelling inputs and outputs used to substantiate the impacts and benefits of Basslink (which have been detailed in the thesis), was Hydro Tasmania’s case in support of the development made durable and, thereby, legitimated by the decision-making body charged with the task of assessing the project. This study follows Hydro Tasmania’s knowledge claims in respect of the Gordon River impacts through the process and demonstrates the pivotal role of narratives and the extent to which they can bridge empirical gaps, explain and obscure inconsistencies, erase unexpected model outputs, contextualise findings and mobilise ontological claims. The tension between the fulfilment of disclosure

requirements upon proponents by means of 'scientific facts' and the extent to which issues such as trust, accountability and past track record, bear upon people's uptake of these 'facts' is also examined in this work.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

There are, of course, many people to acknowledge for their valuable contributions in helping to bring this project to completion. In the first instance, though, my move from Sydney to Hobart and this work would not have been possible without the Australian Postgraduate Award. For this, I am especially grateful.

From within the Centre for Environmental Studies, I'd like to thank my supervisor, Peter Hay, for his intuition and understanding of what must have appeared, at times, to be a student going round-and-round in circles. For enriching my academic experience and for caring so much, I'd like to give thanks to Elaine Stratford. Thank you, too, to John Todd for his archival treasures. I must also mention two friends and colleagues. First, Andrew Harwood, whose questioning of my theoretical ideas prompted considerable reflection. Although I came back to my starting point, it was well worth the trip. Second, Colin Winkler, who was always so generous with his time, particularly on computer matters. I would also like to acknowledge the many other wonderful friends I have made during my time at the Centre, particularly Denbeigh, Julie, Elizabeth, Peat, James, Greg, Jo, Dave, Emma, Kete and Mary, without whom my time there would have been greatly diminished.

Having had access to the tape recordings of the Basslink hearings taken by the Resource Planning and Development Commission was vital for the project. In this respect, I'd like to thank the Executive Commissioner, Julian Green, as well as the staff of the RPDC who always managed to find a place for me to do my work. I enjoyed, very much, the conversations that took place with the people from the RPDC who wandered past my door.

I'd also like to thank Steven Halliday, Michael Connarty and Helen Locher from Hydro Tasmania for their prompt attention to my queries. The wealth of information provided by Ralph Mitchell from the Tasmanian Fishing Industry Council and Dagmar Nordberg on marine issues was also very much appreciated. I am also grateful to the many people with whom I came in contact at the public hearings, who provided information or answered queries, especially David Jeffrey, Peter Davies, Bruce Davis, Stuart Morris, Margaret Blakers, Helen Gee and Michael Kirlew.

There were so many others that I called on for assistance and who gave it gladly; among them were, Alan Pears, Bill Dockrill, Taylor Bildstein, Daniel Cooper, Andrew

Durran, Andrea Bunting, Gavan McDonell, Ben Northcott, Gabrielle Kuiper, David Miller and Hugh Outhred.

Last, but not least, I'd like to thank my partner, Arthur, who has had to endure what accompanies a research project such as this, and our daughter, Laina, who has had to tolerate some absences in the first months of her life.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

FIGURES	13
GLOSSARY OF TERMS	14
INTRODUCTION	17
Thesis Structure	20
Chapter 1	
THE BASSLINK PROJECT, ASSESSMENT PROCESS BOUNDARIES	
AND ISSUES OUT OF REACH.....	26
THE BASSLINK PROJECT.....	27
Rationale and Goals of Basslink.....	27
Legislative Context.....	30
A Project of State and National Significance	32
Tasmania's Resource Planning and Development Commission.....	33
Joint Advisory Panel.....	34
Process Structure	35
Praise for the Process	36
DIIAS Critique	37
Merits of the Process.....	38
PROJECT AND PROCESS BOUNDARIES.....	39
Tracing the Limits	39
Basslink Development Steering Committee.....	39
Basslink Development Board	41
Close Ties.....	43
Draft Scope Guidelines.....	46
PROJECT FINANCES AND MODELLING ISSUES	47
Commercial Arrangements.....	47
Finances of Hydro Tasmania and the State of Tasmania	49
Fiscal Evidence	50
Indicative Figures.....	52
Changing the Cable Technology on Environmental Grounds	54
Hydro Tasmania's Cost Limitations.....	62
Project Cost Hike	64
Chapter 2	
A HYDRO PAST BUT A RENEWABLES FUTURE	70
THE TRANSFORMATION OF THE HYDRO-ELECTRIC COMMISSION	70
Hydro-industrialisation.....	70
Load and Demand Forecasts	71
Effects of Hydro-industrialisation	72
Consumer Pain and Confusion in the 1980s.....	75
Retaining Expertise and Skills.....	77

Cultural and Fiscal Change	77
Past Basslink Proposals	79
Basslink in the 1990s	81
A New Future for the Hydro-Electric Commission	82
Selling the Public Asset	84
Bacon Government's Energy Policy	85
No Threat from Debt	86
Talking Up the Tasmanian Economy	90
Hydro Tasmania's Solutions to the World	92
Environmental Credentials.....	94
A Renewables Future.....	94
Mandatory Renewable Energy Targets.....	95
Ambitious Wind Power Plans.....	97
Dampening Wind Power Claims	99
Hydro Tasmania's Economic Imperatives.....	100
THE GREENS' PERSPECTIVE AND THEIR DILEMMA.....	102
Geographical Links to Basslink.....	102
Political Links to Basslink	103
Response to the DIAS.....	104
Raising the Broader Issues	105
Overpowering Tasmania.....	106
Problems with the Mandatory Renewable Energy Target	107
Mandatory Renewable Energy Target Baselines.....	108
Mandatory Renewable Energy Target Review	111
Climate Change	112
People's Hearts and Minds.....	115
Evocative Imagery.....	116
The Contradiction	119
Linking Basslink with Wind Power.....	120
Chapter 3	
THEORETICAL CONTEXT AND METHODOLOGICAL FRAMEWORK.....	123
THEORETICAL CONTEXT	123
Epistemology	124
Realism	124
Constructivism	125
Boundary-Defining Language.....	127
Conditional Knowledge	127
Conditionality as Indeterminacy	130
Contextual Factors	132
Inverting 'Reality'	133
Constructing Facts, Obscuring Conditionality	133
Moving Modalities	134
Constructing 'Reality'	135
Modality-Shedding Devices	136
STS THEORETICAL DISPUTES AND CONCEPTUAL TOOLS.....	137
Science Wars	137
Relativism.....	138
Realists and Constructivists.....	139

Ontology	140
Social Realism, Finitism and Interests	142
Co-construction	144
Certainty Trough.....	146
ANALYTICAL FOCUS.....	149
A Constructivist Epistemology	149
Links with Environmental Impact Assessment Theory and Practice	150
Predictive Models.....	152
Adaptive Management.....	153
Preventive Paradigm	154
Fiducial Science	154
Dispensing with Objectivity	156
METHODOLOGICAL FRAMEWORK	157
Discourse Analysis Literature Review	157
Discourse as Action.....	158
Ethnomethodology and its Origins	159
A Foucauldian Approach.....	161
Discursive Practice – Discourses-in-Practice.....	162
Fairclough’s Critical Language Study	163
Ideology and Hegemony.....	164
Conceptual Interpretative Repertoires.....	166
Narrative Analysis	169
Narratives Travel	171
Central Questions.....	173
RESEARCH DESIGN	174
Case Study and Theory Testing Approach.....	174
Empirical Resources.....	175
 Chapter 4	
TWO CASES FROM HYDRO TASMANIA IN SUPPORT OF BASSLINK	177
Conceptual Distinctions.....	178
Call for Science.....	179
Benefits of Basslink for the Gordon River	180
With and Without Basslink Baselines.....	183
Two Cases for Basslink	184
Operational Changes on the Gordon River from Basslink.....	185
Discharge Zones on the River Banks	186
Environmental Impacts of Case 1.....	187
Environmental Impacts of Case 2.....	190
Mitigation Measures	191
Conditions on Mitigation	193
Modelling Mitigation Effects	202
A New Without Basslink Baseline	206
 Chapter 5	
IDENTIFYING CONTINGENCIES IN	
PREDICTIVE MODELLING AND PROJECTED BASELINES	207
The Truth About Basslink	207
Past Studies.....	208

Hydro Tasmania's Scientific Studies	208
A Crucial Conditionality	209
Multiple Uses of TEMSIM.....	209
TEMSIM Origins.....	212
TEMSIM Structure and Performance.....	213
Inputs and Outputs of TEMSIM.....	214
Disclosure of TEMSIM Model Assumptions, Limitations and Uncertainties.....	215
Sensitivity Analyses of Basslink Drivers.....	216
Victorian Prices	217
National Electricity Market.....	219
Reduced Wholesale Electricity Prices.....	219
PROPHET Simulation Model	220
PROPHET Price Scenarios.....	222
PROPHET Knowledge Connections.....	223
PROPHET Inputs.....	226
Repeating the Past in the Future	227
Strategic Bidding Strategies	228
Modelling Behaviour	229
Future Changes for a Market Environment.....	230
PROPHET Core.....	231
Knowledge Distances.....	233
Tasmanian Hydro-generation Load.....	233
Load Sensitivity Analyses	234
Changes in Tasmanian Load.....	236
Natural Gas	237
Loss of a Major Industrial Consumer	237
Hydro-system Capacity	238
Future Supply Options	238
Wind Power Potential.....	239
Additional Sensitivity Analyses	240
Downstream Impacts of Changes in Load and Price	242
Historical Without Basslink Baseline.....	246
Historical Record the Best Baseline.....	246
Chapter 6	
NARRATIVES AND CONSTRUCTIONS	249
ANALYSIS OF NARRATIVES	250
Narrative Certainty.....	250
Narratives and Concept Constructions as Argumentative Strategies.....	251
Constructions of the Model Outputs.....	251
Overstated Impacts	252
Load Constraints at the Gordon Power Station.....	252
Load Constraints Narrative	253
TEMSIM Model Bias Narrative.....	254
Mobilising Constructions	255
Contextualisation	257
A New 'Without Basslink' Baseline.....	260
TEMSIM-SYSOP Comparison	261
Revised Conclusions.....	261

Without Basslink (Historical) and Without Basslink (SYSOP)	262
Construction of Model Outputs as Further Understanding	263
A Matter of Scale	263
Hydrological Variability	264
A New Brief.....	264
Updating the Science	265
Moving the Boundaries	267
Constructions of the Gordon River.....	271
Juxtaposing Existing with Future Impacts	272
Ecological Management Based on Trade-Offs	273
Construction of the River as Not Having Reached Equilibrium.....	274
Diminishing the Value of the River	275
What's on the River?	276
Conflating the Equilibrium Construction with the River Narrative.....	277
Conflating the Equilibrium Construction with the Load Constraints Narrative...	278
Riparian Vegetation Loss	283
LEGITIMATION OF 'REALITIES'	285
Load Constraints Narrative	285
TEMSIM Model Bias Narrative.....	286
What is Reasonable?	288
Gordon River Narrative	288
Environmental Protection (Impact of Proposals) Act 1974	289
World Heritage Properties Conservation Act 1983	291
Precautionary Principle	295
Chapter 7	
CHARTING THE MOBILITY OF 'NO NET BASSLINK IMPACT'	298
'NO NET BASSLINK IMPACT': A USEFUL DISCURSIVE DEVICE	299
Origin and Definition	299
Tasmanian Wilderness World Heritage Area	300
Hydro Tasmania's Case 1.....	302
A Different Reading of the Load Constraints Narrative?.....	303
Hydro Tasmania's Case 2.....	304
Legal Case Linchpin.....	304
'Done to Death' River	305
'No Net Basslink Impact' Boundaries	307
Defining 'Long-Term Presently Occurring Trends'	308
Translocating Evaluation into the Future	311
Evidencing the Inapplicability of World Heritage Convention Legislation.....	312
Evidencing Compliance with the Tasmania Wilderness World Heritage Management Plan 1999	315
Evidencing Sustainable Development	318
Evidencing an Application of the Precautionary Principle.....	318
REGULATORY OUTCOMES AND INSTRUMENTS.....	320
Monitoring, Reporting and Disclosure	321
JAP's Rejection of 'No Net Basslink Impact'	324
Management Restricted by 'No Net Basslink Impact' Limits.....	327
Trade-Offs	328
Disclosure and Compensation	329

Chapter 8

THE CRITICS:

IGNORANT ILLITERATES OR PERCEPTIVE RECALCITRANTS?	332
Drawing the Boundaries.....	334
Process Inequity	334
Raising Scientific Literacy	336
Reframing Indeterminacy as Uncertainty	338
Changing Contexts and Deepening Conditionality	340
Certainty Trough	340
Embedding Worldviews.....	342
Closed 'Black Boxes'	343
Loss of Agency	343
Issues of Dependency	345
Scepticism	345
Disbelief	346
Unintended Costs and Consequences	347
Trust and Suspended Doubt.....	348
The Past Informs the Future.....	350
A Loss of Social Identity	351
Inside the 'Black Box'	352
Challenging Proponents' Claims.....	352

Chapter 9	
LINKING NARRATIVES WITH THEORY	357
Epistemology and Ontology	358
Boundary-Work	359
Predictive Models	363
Can the 'Facts' Speak for Themselves?	364
Shedding Modalities	366
Prescriptive Social Framings and Worldviews	367
Contextual Factors	370
Co-construction	373
Fiducial Science	376
Certainty Trough	378
The Role of Narratives and Constructions	381
Indeterminacy	382
Multiple Constructions, Same Narrative	383
Validating Stories	384
Interpretative Repertoires	385
No Net Basslink Impact	386
Intermingled Discourses	387
Chapter 10	
CONCLUSIONS	389
REFERENCES	403
APPENDICES	427
APPENDIX 1-1	428
APPENDIX 1-2	428
APPENDIX 2	431
APPENDIX 3	433
APPENDIX 4-1	434
APPENDIX 4-2	437
APPENDIX 4-3	439
APPENDIX 4-4	441
APPENDIX 4-5	443
APPENDIX 5	446
APPENDIX 6	448
APPENDIX 7	449

FIGURES

Figure 3.1 The Uncertainty Trough.....	129
Figure 5.1 PROPHET Data Sources.....	198

GLOSSARY OF TERMS

AEA	Australian EcoGeneration Association
AM	Adaptive Management
ANT	Actor Network Theory
BCSE	Business Council for Sustainable Energy
BDB	Basslink Development Board
BPL	Basslink Pty Limited
CAAP	Combined Approvals and Assessment Process
COAG	Council of Australian Governments
CREA	Centre for Regional Economic Analysis
CSIRO	Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation
DIIAS	Draft Integrated Impact Assessment Statement
DPIWE	Department of Primary Industries, Water and Environment (an agency of the Tasmanian State government).
ECITA	Environment, Communications, Information Technology and the Arts Senate Committee
EIA	Environmental Impact Assessment
EIS	Environmental Impact Statement
EPBC Act	<i>Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999</i> (Commonwealth legislation).
EMPC Act	<i>Environmental Management and Pollution Control Act 1994</i> (Tasmanian legislation).
EPA	Environment Protection Agency
EPIP Act	<i>Environment Protection (Impact of Proposals) Act 1974</i> (Commonwealth legislation).
GCM	Global Circulation Models
HEC	Hydro-Electric Corporation
HECEC	Hydro-Electric Commission Enterprises Corporation
HT	Hydro Tasmania

HVDC	High Voltage Direct Current
IES	Intelligent Energy Systems
IIAS	Integrated Impact Assessment Statement
JKMRC	Julius Kruttschnitt Mineral Research Centre
JAP	Joint Advisory Panel
LWM	Low Water Mark
MW	Megawatt (= 1,000 kilowatts of electricity generation)
MWh	Megawatt hour (use of 1,000 kilowatts of electricity per hour)
MRET	Mandatory Renewable Energy Target
NCP	National Competition Policy
NEM	National Electricity Market
NEMMCO	National Electricity Market Management Company
NGIL	National Grid International Limited
NGG	National Grid Group
NGMC	National Grid Management Council
NIEIR	National Institute of Economic and Industry Research
ORER	Office of Renewable Energy Regulator
PMSEIC	Prime Minister's Science, Engineering and Innovation Council
POSS	Project of State Significance
REC	Renewable Energy Certificate
RGP	Real Gross Product
RMPS	Resource Management and Planning System (Tasmanian legislation)
RPDC	Resource Planning and Development Commission
SAFMA	<i>State Authorities Financial Management Act 1990</i>
SECV	State Electricity Commission of Victoria
SOEB	State Owned Energy Businesses
SOO	Statement of Opportunities (issued annually by NEMMCO)
SP&P Act	<i>State Policies and Projects Act 1993</i> (Tasmanian legislation)
SRMC	Short Run Marginal Cost
STS	Science and Technology Studies
SYSOP	SYStems OPeration model
TCCI	Tasmanian Chamber of Commerce and Industry
TEMSIM	Tasmanian Electricity Market Simulation Model

TFIC	Tasmanian Fishing Industry Council
TNGP	Tasmanian Natural Gas Project
TWWHA	Tasmanian Wilderness World Heritage Area
TWWHMP	Tasmanian Wilderness World Heritage Management Plan
VPX	Victorian Power Exchange
WHA	World Heritage Area
WHPC Act	<i>World Heritage Properties Conservation Act 1983</i>